

Jacob Blaustein Building 165 East 56 Street New York, NY 10022 T 212.751.4000

Resolution 514

AJC.org

Re:

February 1, 2023

Michael L. Tichnor President

Ted Deutch

Chief Executive Officer Edward and Sandra Meyer Office of the CEO

Chair, Board of Governors Robert E. Lapin

Chair, Board of Trustees Steven J. Wisch

Officers

Roberta S. Baruch Chair, Advocacy

David R. Berz
Treasurer/Secretary

Matthew J. Coen Chair, Global

Communications

Ilene Engel

Chair, Leadership Development

Cliff P. Goldstein Associate Chair, Board of Trustees

Frank Linde Chair, Audit Committee

Anthony E. Meyer Associate Chair, Board of Trustees

Robert L. Newmark
Chair, Regional Offices

Steven L. Zelkowitz
Associate Treasurer/
Chair. Budget Committee

At-Large Members

Jeffrey H. Aronson Matthew Bronfman Michael Feldstein David W. Inlander Ilana Ron Levey Andrew H. Marks Linda Mirels Ben A. Plotkin Lisa L. Pruzan Jovce Silberstang

Commission Steering Committee Chairs Melanie Nelkin Amy Levin Ragen Harriet P. Schleifer Jeffrey E. Stone

ACCESS Representatives Jonathan Alden Lauren Mogil

Honorary Presidents Stanley M. Bergman Robert H. Elman E. Robert Goodkind Alfred H. Moses Bruce M. Ramer Robert S. Rifkind Harriet P. Schleifer John M. Shapiro Richard J. Sideman Harold Tanner Deborah Enix-Ross, Esq. President American Bar Association 750 North Lake Shore Drive Chicago, Illinois 60611-4403

Dear Ms. Enix-Ross:

On behalf of the American Jewish Committee, I am writing to welcome Resolution 514, condemning antisemitism.

The fight against antisemitism is not a fight for Jews only. No less than other forms of bigotry, antisemitism corrodes the foundation of our democratic society. Adding the American Bar Association's powerful voice to the battle against antisemitism is a welcome advance in the effort to cleanse the nation of this scourge.

That said, we also must express our regret if the Bar Association is unable to see its way clear to adopt the International Holocaust Remembrance Association's ("IHRA") definition of antisemitism, which includes some extreme forms of criticism of Israel as antisemitic. That Definition is widely accepted internationally, by the United States' Departments of State and Education, a Presidential Executive Order, more than 30 states, and countless municipalities.

Critics of that Definition have wildly mischaracterized its intent and impact—in many cases confusing categorizing some criticism of Israel as antisemitic speech with suppression of such speech, two very different things. The upshot is that in this one respect, Resolution 514 does not capture the reality of contemporary antisemitism as Jews experience it.

We accept that Resolution 514 may pass without such a reference. Nonetheless, if that happens, we urge you to make it clear that the omission of the reference to the IHRA is not to be understood as a repudiation of that Definition by the Bar Association.

As we understand it, there was disagreement among members of the Association as to whether the IHRA definition should be referenced in Resolution 514. The short time frame between the adoption of the amended version of the Resolution and the meeting of the House of Delegates did not allow for a full debate on the subject and recognition that

a resolution now is better than either no resolution or postponing the resolution until a later meeting appears to have led to the current compromise.

We don't ask that you endorse the IHRA Definition or any other. But we hope that you will make it plain that the Bar has taken no position on the validity of the IHRA Definition and to publicly acknowledge that antisemitism sometimes masquerades as criticism of Israel.

All this said, we end where we began—with appreciation for the decision of the Bar Association to join the ranks of those actively condemning antisemitism.

Sincerely,

Marc D. Stern

Chief Legal Officer

American Jewish Committee

/drs